# B(SM and MSSM) Higgs bosons consistent with LHC Hints

Jack Gunion U.C. Davis

LHC2TSP, March 27, 2012

Contributing collaborators: B. Grzadkowski, S. Kraml, M. Toharia, Y. Jiang

# **Higgs-like LHC Excesses**

Are we seeing THE Higgs, or only A Higgs or Higgs-like Scalar?



Experimental Higgs-like excesses: define

$$R(X) = \frac{\sigma(pp \to h) \text{BR}(h \to X)}{\sigma(pp \to h_{SM}) \text{BR}(h_{SM} \to X)}, \quad R_i(X) = \frac{\sigma(pp \to i \to h) \text{BR}(h \to X)}{\sigma(pp \to i \to h_{SM}) \text{BR}(h_{SM} \to X)}$$
(1)

where i = gg or WW.

Table 1: Three scenarios for LHC excesses in the  $\gamma\gamma$  and  $4\ell$  final states.

	${\bf 125}~{\rm GeV}$	$137  { m GeV}$	${\bf 120}~{\rm GeV}$
ATLAS	$R(\gamma\gamma)\sim 2.0^{+0.8}_{-0.8}, R(4\ell)\sim 0.5^{+1.5}_{-1.0}$	no excesses	no excesses
CMSA	$R(\gamma\gamma) \sim 1.7 {+0.8 \atop -0.7},  R(4\ell) \sim 0.5 {+1.1 \atop -0.7}$	$R(4l)=2.0{+1.5 \atop -1.0}, R(\gamma\gamma)<0.5$	no excesses
CMSB	$R(\gamma\gamma) \sim 1.7 {+0.8 \atop -0.7},  R(4\ell) \sim 0.5 {+1.1 \atop -0.7}$	no excesses	$R(\gamma\gamma) = 1.5 {+0.8 \atop -0.8},  R(4\ell) < 0.2$

At 125 GeV, CMS separates out gg vs. WW fusion processes, yielding

$$R_{gg}^{\rm CMS}(\gamma\gamma) = 1.6 \pm 0.7, \quad R_{WW}^{\rm CMS}(\gamma\gamma) = 3.7^{+2.1}_{-1.8}$$
 (2)

and also there are CMS, ATLAS and D0+CDF=Tevatron measurements of Vh production with  $h \rightarrow b\overline{b}$  giving at 125 GeV

 $R_{Vh}^{\text{CMS}}(b\overline{b}) = 0.5^{+1.3}_{-1.5}, \quad R_{Vh}^{\text{ATLAS}}(b\overline{b}) \sim 0 \pm 1.5, \quad R_{Vh}^{\text{Tev}}(b\overline{b}) \sim 1.8 \pm 1, \quad (3)$ 

the latter two being very crude estimates as the collaborations do not directly quote these numbers. One can also force all the observations into a SM-like framework, but allowing for rescaling of individual channels, as per arXiv:1203.4254, to obtain



So, it could be a very SM-like Higgs boson once statistics increase, or some of the enhancement/suppressions could survive. Note: R(WW) < 1 could imply  $gg \rightarrow h <$ SM, but  $R(ZZ) \gtrsim 1$  suggests not.

# NMSSM

• Extra singlet superfield solves  $\mu$  problem and gives more Higgs states than MSSM:  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$ ,  $h_3$ ,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  (and  $H^{\pm}$ ).

New parameters:  $\lambda, \kappa$  in  $W \ni \lambda \widehat{S}\widehat{H}_u\widehat{H}_d + \frac{\kappa}{3}\widehat{S}^3$ ,  $A_{\kappa}$  and  $A_{\lambda}$  in  $V_{soft} \ni \lambda A_{\lambda}SH_uH_d + \frac{\kappa}{3}A_{\kappa}S^3$ 

- Various constrained versions have been considered.
  - 1. cNMSSM [?][?]:  $m_0 = 0$  and  $A_0 \equiv A_t = A_b = A_\tau = A_\lambda = A_\kappa$ ,  $\Rightarrow$ 
    - $m_{h_1} \lesssim 121~{
      m GeV}$  at large  $m_{1/2}$ .
    - The  $h_2$  can have a mass in the 123 128 GeV range for not too large  $m_{1/2}$ , but  $R^{h_2}(\gamma\gamma)$  is of order 0.5 0.6.

Doesn't look like LHC data.

• CNMSSM: universal  $m_0$ ,  $A_0$ : can't give high enough  $m_{h_1}$ .

- universal  $m_0$ ,  $A_0$ , but  $A_{\lambda} = A_{\kappa} = 0$ ; can't give high enough  $m_{h_1}$ .
- universal  $m_0$ , except for NUHM, universal  $A_0$  except  $A_{\lambda} = A_{\kappa} = 0$ ; can get into interesting  $m_{h_1}$  range.
- universal  $m_0$ , except for NUHM, universal  $A_0$  except  $A_{\lambda}$  and  $A_{\kappa}$  allowed to vary freely: gives further expansion of interesting scenarios.

### Extra dimensions and Higgs-radion Mixing

• The only other really attractive alternate solution to the hierarchy problem that provides a self-contained ultraviolet complete framework is to allow extra dimensions.

One particular implementation is the Randall Sundrum model in which there is a warped 5th dimension.

- Depending on the Higgs representation employed, can get 2 or more scalar eigenstates, as might end up being required, e.g. to fit 125 GeV and 137 GeV excesses.
- The background RS metric that solves Einstein's equations takes the form[?]

$$ds^{2} = e^{-2m_{0}b_{0}|y|}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} - b_{0}^{2}dy^{2}$$
(4)

where y is the coordinate for the 5th dimension with  $|y| \leq 1/2$ .

• The RS model provides a simple solution to the hierarchy problem if the Higgs is placed on the TeV brane at y = 1/2 by virtue of the fact that the 4D electro-weak scale  $v_0$  is given in terms of the  $\mathcal{O}(m_{Pl})$  5D Higgs vev,  $\hat{v}$ , by:

$$v_0 = \Omega_0 \hat{v} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}m_0 b_0} \hat{v} \sim 1 \text{ TeV} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{1}{2}m_0 b_0 \sim 35.$$
 (5)

- The graviton and radion fields,  $h_{\mu\nu}(x,y)$  and  $\phi_0(x)$ , are the quantum fluctuations relative to the background metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  and  $b_0$ , respectively.
- Critical parameters are  $\Lambda_{\phi}$ , the vacuum expectation value of the radion field, and  $m_0/m_{Pl}$  where  $m_0$  characterizes the 5-dimensional curvature. To solve the hierarchy problem, need  $\Lambda_{\phi} = \sqrt{6}m_{Pl}\Omega_0 \lesssim 1 - 10$  TeV.
- Besides the radion, the model contains a conventional Higgs boson,  $h_0$ .
- $m_0/m_{Pl} \gtrsim 0.5$  is favored for fitting the LHC Higgs excesses and by bounds on FCNC and PEW constraints.

Now viewed as ok.

• In the simplest RS scenario, the SM fermions and gauge bosons are confined to the brane.

Now regarded as highly problematical:

- Higher-dimensional operators in the 5D effective field theory are suppressed only by  $\text{TeV}^{-1}$ ,  $\Rightarrow$  FCNC processes and PEW observable corrections are predicted to be much too large.

The SM gauge bosons = zero-modes of the 5D fields and the profile of a SM fermion in the extra dimension can be adjusted using a mass parameter.

- There are various possibilities. No time to outline. We choose 5D Yukawa couplings and profiles so that there are no corrections to the bare  $h_0$  couplings ( $Y_2 \ll Y_1$ ), but see Neubert et.al. for alternative.
- Since the radion and higgs fields have the same quantum numbers, they

can mix. [?]

$$S_{\xi} = \xi \int d^4x \sqrt{g_{\text{vis}}} R(g_{\text{vis}}) \widehat{H}^{\dagger} \widehat{H} , \qquad (6)$$

The physical mass eigenstates, h and  $\phi$ , are obtained by diagonalizing and canonically normalizing the kinetic energy terms.

The diagonalization procedures and results for the mass eignestates h and  $\phi$  using our notation can be found in [?] (see also [?][?]).

- In the context of the higgs-radion model, positive signals can only arise for two masses.
- If more than two excesses were to ultimately emerge, then a more complicated Higgs sector will be required than the single  $h_0$  case we study here.

Certainly, one can consider including extra Higgs singlets or doublets.

For the moment, we presume that there are at most two excesses. In this case, it is sufficient to pursue the single Higgs plus radion model.

- Let us use the CMSB scenario as an example.
- Let us use a model in which there is a lower bound on  $m_1^g$  of 1.5 TeV from CMS data.
- Then,  $\Lambda_{\phi}$  will be correlated with  $m_0/m_{Pl}$ .

$$\frac{m_0}{m_{Pl}} \simeq \frac{m_1^g}{\Lambda_\phi} \tag{7}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  For small  $m_0/m_{Pl}$ ,  $\Lambda_{\phi}$  is too large, so only solve hierarchy if  $m_0/m_{Pl}$  is  $\gtrsim 0.2$ .

Signals at 125 GeV and 137 GeV

• In Fig. ??:  $m_0/m_{Pl}=0.5$  and  $\xi=0.12$   $\Rightarrow$ 

125 GeV:  $\gamma\gamma \sim 1.3 imes$ SM and  $4\ell \sim 1.5 imes$ SM

137 GeV:  $\gamma \gamma \sim 1.3 \times \text{SM}$  and  $4\ell \sim 0.5 \times \text{SM}$ .

#### consistent within $1\sigma$ with the CMS observations.



 $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : solid red;  $h \rightarrow ZZ$ : blue dashes;  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : green dots;  $\phi \rightarrow ZZ$ ; cyan long dashes



**Figure 1:** We plot  $\gamma \gamma$  and ZZ relative to SM vs  $\xi$  taking  $m_1^g = 1.5$  TeV.

• For other 5D fermion Yukawa and profile choices, there are no reliable lower bounds on KK excitations, so can consider holding  $\Lambda_{\phi}$  fixed as  $m_0/m_{Pl}$  is varied.  $\Rightarrow$  fit for  $m_0/m_{Pl} = 0.25$ ,  $\xi = -0.1$  if  $\Lambda_{\phi} = 1$  TeV.





Figure 2: We plot  $\gamma\gamma$  and ZZ rates relative to SM vs  $\xi$  taking  $\Lambda_{\phi}$  fixed at 1.5 TeV.

• Perhaps the signal at 125 GeV will look very precisely SM-like after more L is accumulated.

Then, one should probably take  $\xi = 0$  (no mixing) and ask what the constraints are if there is a radion at some nearby mass. We consider  $m_{\phi} = 137$  GeV, a signal that might survive.

- Fig. ?? shows  $\gamma \gamma > 4\ell$  at  $m_{\phi}$  is always the case. The unmixed radion cannot describe a  $4\ell > \gamma \gamma$  excess.
- A decent fit to the current CMS  $\gamma\gamma$  excess at 137 GeV is achieved for quite modest  $m_0/m_{Pl}=0.05$  and  $\Lambda_\phi\sim 5.5$  TeV!



 $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : solid red;  $h \rightarrow ZZ$ : blue dashes;  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : green dots;  $\phi \rightarrow ZZ$ ; cyan long dashes



**Figure 3:** We plot  $\gamma \gamma$  and ZZ rates relative to SM vs  $\Lambda_{\phi}$  taking  $\xi = 0$ .

## Conclusions

It seems likely that the Higgs responsible for EWSB is not buried Perhaps, other Higgs-like objects are emerging.

But, we must never assume we have un-buried all the Higgs.



### Certainly, I will continue watching and waiting



### References

- [1] J. F. Gunion, Y. Jiang and S. Kraml, arXiv:1201.0982 [hep-ph].
- [2] U. Ellwanger, arXiv:1112.3548 [hep-ph].
- [3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, "A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension," Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370 [arXiv:hep-ph/9905221];
- [4] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Lett. B 473, 43 (2000) [hep-ph/9911262].
- [5] A. Pomarol, Phys. Lett. B 486, 153 (2000) [hep-ph/9911294].
- [6] T. Gherghetta, A. Pomarol, "Bulk fields and supersymmetry in a slice of AdS," Nucl. Phys. B586, 141-162 (2000). [hep-ph/0003129].
- [7] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett, T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D63, 075004 (2001). [hep-ph/0006041].

- [8] C. Csaki, J. Erlich and J. Terning, Phys. Rev. D 66, 064021 (2002) [hep-ph/0203034].
- [9] J. L. Hewett, F. J. Petriello and T. G. Rizzo, JHEP 0209, 030 (2002) [hep-ph/0203091].
- [10] K. Agashe, A. Delgado, M. J. May and R. Sundrum, JHEP 0308, 050 (2003) [hep-ph/0308036].
- [11] K. Agashe, H. Davoudiasl, G. Perez and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D 76, 036006 (2007) [hep-ph/0701186].
- [12] D. Dominici, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion and M. Toharia, "The scalar sector of the Randall-Sundrum model," Nucl. Phys. B 671, 243 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0206192]; "Higgs-boson interactions within the Randall-Sundrum model," Acta Phys. Polon. B 33, 2507 (2002) [arXiv:hepph/0206197].

- [13] G. Cacciapaglia, C. Csaki, J. Galloway, G. Marandella, J. Terning and A. Weiler, JHEP 0804, 006 (2008) [arXiv:0709.1714 [hep-ph]].
- [14] K. Agashe, A. Belyaev, T. Krupovnickas, G. Perez and J. Virzi, Phys. Rev. D 77, 015003 (2008) [hep-ph/0612015].
- [15] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], arXiv:1112.2194 [hep-ex].
- [16] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], arXiv:1112.0688 [hep-ex].
- [17] S. Rappoccio [CMS Collaboration], arXiv:1110.1055 [hep-ex].
- [18] The ATLAS Collaboration, " A Search for ttbar Resonances in the Dilepton Channel in 1.04/fb of pp Collisions at sqrt(s) = 7 TeV", ATLAS-CONF-2011-123.
- [19] G. F. Giudice, R. Rattazzi and J. D. Wells, "Graviscalars from higherdimensional metrics and curvature-Higgs mixing," Nucl. Phys. B 595, 250 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0002178].

[20] J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, JHEP 0308, 028 (2003) [hep-ph/0202155].

- [21] C. Csaki, J. Hubisz, S. J. Lee, "Radion phenomenology in realistic warped space models," Phys. Rev. D76, 125015 (2007). [arXiv:0705.3844 [hepph]].
- [22] H. de Sandes, R. Rosenfeld, "Radion-Higgs mixing effects on bounds from LHC Higgs Searches," [arXiv:1111.2006 [hep-ph]].
- [23] V. Barger, M. Ishida, "Randall-Sundrum Reality at the LHC," [arXiv:1110.6452 [hep-ph]].
- [24] K. Cheung and T. -C. Yuan, arXiv:1112.4146 [hep-ph].
- [25] V. Barger, M. Ishida and W. -Y. Keung, arXiv:1111.4473 [hep-ph].
- [26] ATLAS Collaboration, Combination of Higgs Boson Searches with up to 4.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> of pp Collisions Data Taken at a center-of-mass energy of 7 TeV with the ATLAS Experiment at the LHC, ATLAS-CONF-2011-163.

- [27] CMS Collaboration, Combination of SM Higgs Searches, CMS-PAS-HIG-11-032.
- [28] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for the Standard Model Higgs boson in the decay channel  $H \to ZZ^{(*)} \to 4\ell$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, Search for a Higgs boson produced in the decay channel 4I, CMS-PAS-HIG-11-025.
- [30] H. Baer, V. Barger and A. Mustafayev, Implications of a 125 GeV Higgs scalar for LHC SUSY and neutralino dark matter searches, arXiv: 1112.3017.
- [31] A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, A. Djouadi, F. Mahmoudi, J. Quevillon, Implications of a 125 GeV Higgs for supersymmetric models, arXiv: 1112.3028.
- [32] A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, F. Mahmoudi, Constraints on the MSSM from

the Higgs Sector - A pMSSM Study of Higgs Searches,  $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  and Dark Matter Direct Detection, arXiv:1112.3032.

- [33] M. Carena, S. Gori, N. R. Shah, C. E. Wagner, A 125 GeV SM-like Higgs in the MSSM and the  $\gamma\gamma$  rate, arXiv:1112.3336.
- [34] O. Buchmueller, R. Cavanaugh, A. De Roeck, *et al.*, Higgs and Supersymmetry, arXiv:1112.3564 [hep-ph].
- [35] S. Akula, B. Altunkaynak, D. Feldman, P. Nath, G. Peim, Higgs Boson Mass Predictions in SUGRA Unification, Recent LHC-7 Results, and Dark Matter, arXiv:1112.3645.
- [36] M. Kadastik, K. Kannike, A. Racioppi, M. Raidal, Implications of 125 GeV Higgs boson on scalar dark matter and on the CMSSM phenomenology, arXiv:1112.3647.
- [37] J. Cao, Z. Heng, D. Li, J. M. Yang, Current experimental constraints

on the lightest Higgs boson mass in the constrained MSSM, arXiv: 1112.4391.

- [38] A. Arvanitaki, G. Villadoro, A Non Standard Model Higgs at the LHC as a Sign of Naturalness, arXiv:1112.4835.
- [39] L. J. Hall, D. Pinner, J. T. Ruderman, A Natural SUSY Higgs Near 126 GeV, arXiv:1112.2703.
- [40] U. Ellwanger, A Higgs boson near 125 GeV with enhanced di-photon signal in the NMSSM, arXiv:1112.3548.
- [41] A. Djouadi, U. Ellwanger and A. M. Teixeira, The Constrained next-tominimal supersymmetric standard model, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 101802, arXiv:0803.0253.
- [42] A. Djouadi, U. Ellwanger and A. M. Teixeira, Phenomenology of the constrained NMSSM, JHEP 0904 (2009) 031 arXiv:0811.2699.

- [43] U. Ellwanger, J. F. Gunion, C. Hugonie, NMHDECAY: A Fortran code for the Higgs masses, couplings and decay widths in the NMSSM, JHEP 0502 (2005) 066, arXiv:hep-ph/0406215.
- [44] U. Ellwanger, C. Hugonie, NMHDECAY 2.0: An Updated program for sparticle masses, Higgs masses, couplings and decay widths in the NMSSM, Comput. Phys. Commun. 175 (2006) 290–303, arXiv:hep-ph/ 0508022.
- [45] http://www.th.u-psud.fr/NMHDECAY/nmssmtools.html.
- [46] A. Djouadi, J. Kalinowski, M. Spira, HDECAY: A Program for Higgs boson decays in the standard model and its supersymmetric extension, Comput.Phys.Commun. 108 (1998) 56–74, arXiv:hep-ph/9704448.
- [47] R. Dermisek, J. F. Gunion, The NMSSM Close to the R-symmetry Limit and Naturalness in  $h \rightarrow aa$  Decays for  $m_a < 2m_b$ , Phys. Rev. D75 (2007) 075019, arXiv:hep-ph/0611142.

[48] B. A. Dobrescu, K. T. Matchev, Light axion within the next-to-minimal supersymmetric standard model, JHEP 0009 (2000) 031, arXiv:hep-ph/ 0008192.